# ASHLAND CITY COUNCIL 

STUDY SESSION
MINUTES
April 17, 2023

Mayor Tonya Graham called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

Mayor Graham, Councilor Hyatt, Bloom, Dahle, Kaplan, DuQuenne and Hansen were present.

## 1. Public Input - None

## 2. Ashland Housing Production Strategy Update

Community Development Director Brandon Goldman, Housing Manager Linda Reid and Consultant Beth Goodman from ECONorthwest presented on the topic. Mr. Goldman provided background on developing the housing production strategy. Ms. Goodman provided a presentation (see attached):

- Ashland: Housing Production Strategy
- Discussion
- What is a Housing Production Strategy (HPS)?
- Project Schedule and Primary Tasks
- Summary of Stakeholder Input

Mayor Graham asked if they had included developers' suggestions to streamline development review. Ms. Goodman confirmed developers' input would be included. Mr. Goldman addressed the perception of the process being slow and unintended consequences of the code. Council had already identified the need to streamline the review process. Some issues were due to state building code requirements.

- Strategies to Accommodate Housing Need in Ashland
- What is a city's role in housing development?
- Requirements of Strategies in the HPS
- Does the new HB 2001 Affect Ashland's HPS?
- Initiatives Approach Actions

Councilor Kaplan asked about the median income for a family of four versus two. Ms. Goodman confirmed the median income for a two person family was \$58,000. It was \$73,000 for a family of four.

- Initiatives Approach - Actions A \& B

Mr. Goldman used the ten acre site on Clay Street as an example of a land bank and explained the breakdown of the property. A land trust operated for a decade or more and acquired nineteen housing units in Ashland. It eventually dissolved, and was now under NeighborWorks Umpqua. Councilor Kaplan asked what the property tax treatment was for a
land trust. Mr. Goldman explained that Jackson County changed the assessed market value of the affordable housing to correlate with the market price the house will sell for. The property owner pays the tax on land improvements.

- Initiatives Approach - Actions G

Councilor Hyatt noted G. regarding new manufactured home parks and confirmed it addressed zoning.

- Implementation Schedule
- Monitoring Actions - Strategies and Annual Monitoring Mr. Goldman addressed Exhibit 11 Ashland's Future Households by Income, 2021 to 2041 in the HPS and explained the numbers in the chart could determine realistic targets.
- Initiatives Approach Actions C-L, Funding Sources M-O
- Funding Sources Evaluated
- Implementation Schedule
- Summary of Actions
- Initiatives Approach - Actions G

Council discussed developing new manufactured home parks with staff. Ms. Reid explained there was a desire and demand for manufactured homes using a cooperative ownership model versus leasing or renting the land. In the past, another agency used a land trust model for the ownership piece. Not everyone wanted to live in apartments.

Ms. Goodman clarified the action required draft code amendments related to changing the zoning. Alternately, if an existing manufactured home park was for sale, they might reserve it for low income by helping the owners work with a non-profit. Newly developed manufactured home parks were unlikely due to the cost of land in Ashland. Ms. Reid confirmed the items in the plan were up for consideration and would not tie the city into acting.

Mr. Goldman suggested the following language for G., Maintain quality and support development and retention of manufactured home parks. Council agreed to changing the language.

- Implementation Schedule - Actions I

Mr. Goldman explained the transit triangle and Siskiyou Boulevard were areas eligible for the Multiple Unit Property Tax Exemption (MUPTE) for multifamily or affordable housing. MUPTE only applied to new developments unless it existed and had substantial improvements. MUPTE would establish criteria for any projects that qualified and could apply to climate friendly areas.

## Councilor DuQuenne left the meeting at 7:14 p.m.

Mayor Graham asked about energy efficiency elements coming into play in 2029. Mr. Goldman explained they did not rank the item in the same manner as those that produced affordable units or preserved existing affordable units. However, CEAP and other conservation committees were working on it.

Councilor Hansen asked about using developers' input to create smoother processes going forward. Mr. Goldman referred to the stakeholder interviews where they saw issues with development code. Ms. Reid added staff consistently solicited feedback often and implemented changes. They kept a log of what was not working.

## 3. Adjournment of Study Session

The meeting adjourned at 7:26 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted by:

## Melissa Hultala

City Recorder Melissa Huhtala

Attest:



# Ashland: Housing Production Strategy 

City Council
April 17th, 2023

## ECONorthwest

ECONOMICS • FINANCE • PLANNING

## Tonight's Discussion...

## - Discussion

- Overview of input on the HPS
- Focus on HPS actions, partners, funding sources, and adoption
- Do you have any questions about the information in the document?
- Are there any suggested changes to the HPS report?



## What is a Housing Production Strategy (HPS)?

An 8-year action plan that identifies near and long-term strategies that the City can take to support the development of needed housing, especially low- and middle-income housing.


## Project Schedule and Primary Tasks



## Summary of Stakeholder Input

- HHSAC - Recommended adoption of the HPS (Feb 23 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$, 2023).
- Highest priority actions included:
- Identify additional funds to support the Affordable Housing Trust Fund
- Support preservation and development of manufactured home parks
- Participate in a land trust
- Participate in or establish a land bank.
- Advisory Committee - Recommended adoption of the HPS (Feb 2023)
- Highest priority actions included:
- Support preservation and development of manufactured home parks
- Work with partners to support development of additional permanent supportive housing.
- Preserve and improve existing low-cost, unregulated, rental housing.
- City Council (Aug 2022) -
- Was supportive of:
- Land banking
- Land trust - they were very interested in long-term affordability
- Preservation of manufactured home parks
- Evaluating using Urban Renewal
- Potentially using a CET
- Interviews with developers (Aug 2022):
- Priorities included:
- Urban Renewal has been effective in neighboring jurisdictions and could be a useful tool in Ashland.
- Land banking could allow developers to construct more workforce housing.
- Review the code for unintended barriers to density.
- Evaluate opportunities to streamline development review.


Strategies to Accommodate Housing Need in Ashland

## ECONorthwest

ECONOMICS • FINANCE • PLANNING

## What is a city's role housing development?

Cities can directly influence public policy, land, and infrastructure.

Cities may have limited influence on market feasibility

Policy-including zoning, density, and design requirements- must allow developer to build a profitable project.

Developer must control the site with reasonable acquisition costs

There must be sufficient demand (rents, sales prices) to support a profitable project

Capital

Developer must be able to access
resources for investment (e.g., equity investment, bank loans)

## Requirements of Strategies in the HPS

- For strategies identified in the final HPS, the City of Ashland will:
- Commit to implementation
- Be required to update DLCD on implementation progress, and be required to comment on its effectiveness in the future
- Strategies not identified in the HPS may still be implemented by the City, but the City will not be held to specific action by the State.



## Does the new HB 2001 Affect Ashland's HPS?

- The new House Bill 2003 will change how Oregon cities do housing capacity analysis and includes new requirements for housing production strategies.
- Cities currently doing HPS will not be impacted by HB 2001.
- Most HB 2001 requirements will start taking effect in 2025.
- After 2025 DLCD will begin to evaluate performance for implementing the new affordable housing development targets for cities newly conducting an HCA
- Cities found not to be meeting the new requirements may be audited by DLCD
- Enforcement will start with support, collaboration, and technical assistance


## Initiatives Approach



- Encourage development of low- and moderateincome affordable rental housing. This initiative
seeks to increase the housing options for unregulated rental households earning between $60 \%$ and $120 \%$ of MFI (\$43,900 to $\$ 87,700)$.
- Increase opportunities for affordable
homeownership. This initiative seeks to increase the housing options for homeownership for households earning less $120 \%$ of MFI (less than $\$ 87,700$ ).
- Encourage development of income-restricted affordable housing units. There are limited options available in Ashland that are affordable to households with income of less than $60 \%$ of MFI ( $\$ 43,900$ ). This initiative supports development of housing affordable in this income group.
- Preserve existing of low- and moderateincome affordable housing. This initiative seeks to increase the housing options for households earning less than $120 \%$ of MFI (less than $\$ 87,700$ ).


## City and Partner Roles

| ACilons | Cly | Oher Govemment Asericles | Afrodable and Market Pate Developers | Lear Nanprolis | ohns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Evaluate participating in or establishing a land bank. | Contribute land or funding |  | Develop housing |  |  |
| B. Evaluate opportunities to participate in a land trust. | Contribute land or funding |  | Develop housing | Manage Land Trust |  |
| C. Host educational events with the Housing and Human Services Commission | Partner to identify needs, develop materials, do outreach and plan/host events |  |  | Partner to identify needs, develop materials, do outreach and plan events | Coordinate with HHSC |
| D. Develop an equitable housing plan | Develop plan, adoption, implementation, | Provide input | Provide input | Provide input | Coordinate with HHSC |
| E. Disallow SFD in High Density R-3 Zone | Revise development code |  |  |  |  |
| F. Evaluate increasing allowances for residential dwellings in commercial and employment zones | Revise development code |  |  |  |  |
| G. Maintain quality and support development of a new manufactured home park | Revise development code: outreach to property owners and nonprofits | OHCS: partnership on preservation efforts |  | Partnership on preservation efforts | Owners of manufactured home parks: provide input |
| H. Increase development capacity of MFR dwellings | Revise development code |  | Provide input |  |  |
| I. Implement the Multiple Unit Property Tax Exemption (MUPTE) to support multifamily or affordable housing | Outreach, develop eligibility criteria, adoption, implementation, promotion | Taxing Districts: consider exemption approval | Provide input |  |  |
| J. Preserve and improve existing low-cost, unregulated, rental housing | Develop program; funding; outreach \& promotion | Ashland Housing Authority: provide input \& materials; outreach |  | Partnership; provide input \& materials; outreach | Property owners |
| K. Work with partners to support development of additional permanent supportive housing | Provide funding and development assistance opportunities | Jackson County: partnership to develop regional approach. |  | Service providers: identify and utilize funding and development assistance opportunities. |  |
| L. Evaluate opportunities to improve energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions during housing development | Evaluate opportunities; determine implementation steps and identify partners | Ashland Building Division: provide input | Provide input |  | Ashland Climate Policy Commission: provide input |
| Mundrg Somme |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. Establish a Construction Excise Tax | Develop and implement plans |  | Provide input |  | Major employers, and the business community: provide input |
| N. Evaluate using Urban Renewal or other financing tools | Establish and partner w/Urban Renewal Agency | URA: Select projects; implement the Plan |  |  | Property owners |
| O. Identify additional funds to support the Affordable Housing Trust Fund | Evaluate new sources of funding, develop, and implement plan |  |  |  | Residents: provide input |

## Funding Sources Evaluated

| Recommendation for Inclusion <br> in the HPS as an Action? | Revenue Source | Rationale for Inclusion/Exclusion? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | New Urban Renewal Area, if established | Could provide a stable, dedicated revenue source in an area with limited existing infrastructure. |
| Yes | Use of CET funding, if established | Provides a funding source to support developer incentives, affordable homeownership and affordable housing programs. |
| No but staff will continue to pursue | Grants and State Funding | Not included as an action but considered as a source of funding for other housing strategies. |
| No but the City will accept these | Private donations and gifts | Pursue as the City has staff capacity, without dedicated staff this is not likely to be a substantial source of funding |
| Consider as a source of funding for the AHTF | General Obligation Bond | Requires voter approval and may be difficult to pass |
| No | New local option levy | Requires voter approval, unlikely to pass |
| No | Increased lodging tax | Only 30\% increased revenue could go to housing 70\% dedicated to tourism promation |
| No | Marijuana tax | A portion of the proceeds from the City's portion of the State Marijuana Tax is already a long term revenue source to fund the AHTF. |
| No | Increase Systems Development Charges | Does not provide a source of funding for development or preservation of housing. |
| No | Increased utility fee | Does not provide a source of funding for development or preservation of housing. |
| No | Increased building and planning permit fees | Does not provide a source of funding for development or preservation of housing |
| No | New business license fee | May hinder local business development |
| No | New food and beverage tax | The city passed this, but revenue was not allocated for housing. |
| No | New sales tax | May not be politically feasible |
| No | New payroll/business income tax | May not be politically feasible |
| No | New ticket tax | May not be politically feasible |
| No | New real estate transfer tax | Not legal in Oregon |
| No | New vacant/second home tax | Untested and possibly not legal in Oregon |

## Initiatives Approach

Primary Focus of the initiative $\square$ Secondary Focus of the initiative

|  |  | Ifltativen |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Name | Encouraga development of low－and moderateshicome affordable rental houshg | Licrease oppotunities for ainordable homeowneshlp | EncoMrase development of incomerestricted affordabla housing unlis | Presene existins supply of low and moderate－hcome affordable housing |
| Actions |  |  |  |  |
| A．Evaluate participating in or establishing a land bank． |  |  |  |  |
| B．Evaluate opportunities to participate in a land trust． |  |  |  |  |
| C．Host educational events with the Housing and Human Services Commission | $\square$ |  | 불 |  |
| D．Develop an equitable housing plan | $\square$ | － | 置 |  |
| E．Disallow SFD in High Density R－3 Zone |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| F．Evaluate increasing allowances for residential dwellings in commercial and employment zones | ［ |  |  |  |
| G．Maintain quality and support development of a new manufactured home park | $\square$ | ＊ |  | － |
| H．Increase development capacity of MFR dwellings |  |  | 茴 |  |
| I．Implement the Multiple Unit Property Tax Exemption（MUPTE）to support multifamily or affordable housing |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| J．Preserve and improve existing low－cost，unregulated，rental housing |  |  |  |  |
| K．Work with partners to support development of additional permanent supportive housing |  |  | 圖 |  |
| L．Evaluate opportunities to improve energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions during housing development | 치․ | （ | $\square$ |  |
| Funclinc Sourres |  |  |  |  |
| M．Establish a Construction Excise Tax | － | ＂ | － | 圄 |
| N．Evaluate using Urban Renewal | $\square$ | $\square$ | 画 | － |
| O．Identify additional funds to support the Affordable Housing Trust Fund | － | － | － | 준 |

Summary of Actions

|  | Level difiomebility Addressed |  |  |  | $8$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cation Name | $\begin{aligned} & 450 \% \\ & \mathrm{MF1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \% \\ & 80 \% \\ & \mathrm{MH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 120 \% \\ & \mathrm{MH} \end{aligned}$ | Impactor Develorment | Admh Complaxisy | Feastility | Flexisility |
| Crions |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| A. Evaluate participating in or establishing a land bank. | X | X |  | Small to Moderate | High | Moderate | More |
| B. Evaluate opportunities to participate in a land trust. | X | X |  | Small to Moderate | High | Moderate to Less <br> Depends on having a nomprofit partner | More |
| C. Host educational events with the Housing and Human Services Advisory Committee | X | X | X | Small | Low | More | More |
| D. Develop an equitable housing plan | X | X | X | Small | Low | More | Moderate |
| E. Disallow SFD in High Density R-3 Zone | X | X | X | Small to Moderate | Low | Moderate | Moderate |
| F. Evaluate increasing allowances for residential dwellings in commercial and employment zones | X | X | X | Small to Moderate | Low | Moderate | Moderate |
| G. Maintain quality and support development of a new manufactured home park | X | X |  | Small to Moderate | Low | Moderate to Less <br> Depends on funding | Less |
| H. Increase development capacity of MFR dwellings | X | X | X | Small | Low | More | Moderate |
| I. Implement the Multiple Unit Property Tax Exemption (MUPTE) to support multifamily or affordable housing |  | X |  | Moderate | Medium | Moderate | Moderate |
| J. Preserve and improve existing low-cost, unregulated, rental housing | X | X |  | Small to Moderate | Medium | Moderate to Less <br> Depends on funding | More |
| K. Work with partners to support development of additional permanent supportive housing | X |  |  | Small to Moderate | Medium | Moderate to Less Depends on funding. | Less |
| L. Evaluate opportunities to improve energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions during housing development | X | $X$ | X | Small | Medium | Moderate | Less |
| Furolni csulese |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. Establish a Construction Excise Tax | X | X |  | Moderate to large | Medium | Less to Moderate | More |
| N. Evaluate using Urban Renewal or other financing tools | X | X | X | Moderate | High | Less to Moderate | More |
| 0. Identify additional funds to support the Affordable Housing Trust Fund | X | X |  | Moderate to large | High | Less | More |



## Monitoring Actions

## Strategies

## Overall Monitoring

A. Evaluate participating in or establishing a land bank.
B. Evaluate opportunities to participate in a land trust.
C. Host educational events with the Housing and Human Services Advisory Committee
D. Develop an equitable housing plan
E. Disallow SFD in High Density R-3 Zone
F. Maintain quality and support development of a new manufactured home park
G. Increase development capacity of MFR dwellings

## Annual monitoring

- \# of affordable units developed by income range
- \# of affordable projects developed
- \#of acres acquired for land banking
- \# of dwelling units developed on land from land banking
- Amount of funding contributed to land bank
- \# of partnerships with land trusts
- \# of acres contributed to land trusts
- Amount of funding contributed to land trust
- \# of dwelling units developed in land trusts
- \# of events hosted
- \# of attendees at events
- Demographics of attendees
- Topics of events, such as affordable housing or Fair Housing
- Equitable housing plan developed and adopted.
- Ordinance developed and approved.
- Comparison of newly developed housing in R-3 with historical densities
- Ordinance developed and approved.
- \# of partnerships established to support preservation efforts.
- Amount of funding contributed to support preservation.
- Changes in manufactured park ownership
- Ordinance developed and approved.
- Comparison of newly developed multifamily housing with historical densities


## Monitoring Actions (cont.) <br> Strategies <br> Annual monitoring

H. Implement the Multiple Unit Property Tax Exemption (MUPTE) to support multifamily or affordable housing
I. Preserve and improve existing low-cost, unregulated, rental housing
J. Work with partners to support development of additional permanent supportive housing
K. Evaluate opportunities to improve energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions during housing development
L. Establish a Construction Excise Tax

## M. Evaluate using Urban Renewal

N. Identify additional funds to support the Affordable Housing Trust Fund

- Exemption developed and implemented
- \# of inquiries about tax exemption
- \# of projects (and units) granted tax exemption
- Amount of funding used for rehabilitation or preservation
- \# of units where funding was given for rehabilitation or preservation
- New partnerships established or expanded for preservation
- New partnerships established or expanded
- \# of permanently supportive housing projects (and units) developed
- \# of new ordinances or policies that encourage energy efficiency
- \# of new housing units developed under those policies.
- Ordinance adopted
- Plan developed for the use of CET funds.
- Use of CET funds
- \# and types of units developed supported by CET; affordability levels
- Urban Renewal Plan developed and adopted
- Amount of funding investments made with urban renewal dollars to support affordable housing
- \# of all units and of affordable units built using urban renewal dollars
- Additional funding sources identified.
- Amount of additional funding directed to the Affordable Housing Trust Fund.
- Use of AHTF funds
- \# and types of units developed supported by AHTF; affordability levels


## Recommendations

- Develop pre-approved plan sets for Middle Housing Typologies and Accessory Dwelling Units.
- Consider staff capacity for implementation of the HPS.



## Questions for City Council

- Do you have any questions about the information in the document?
- Are there any suggested changes to the HPS report?

- City Council Adoption Hearing - May 2



## ECONorthwest <br> ECONOMICS • FINANCE • PLANNING



## Action A. Evaluate participating in or establishing a land bank.

Purpose: Land banks support low- and moderate-income affordable housing development by reducing or eliminating land cost from development, increasing a nonprofits' capacity to build affordable housing.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get policy direction from Council -2026 | 2027; on-going | On-going; as land is identified |

## Action B. Participate in a land trust

Purpose: Land trusts hold land in perpetuity and sell or lease the housing on the land at below-market rate prices. Land trusts most frequently provide opportunities for homeownership that remain affordable over the long-term.

## Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get policy direction from Council-2025 | 2026; on-going | On-going; as opportunity arises |

## Action C. Host educational events with the HHSAC or other organizations.

Purpose: Provides education around housing issues, which can help prevent and address housing discrimination, ensure rights are protected, and connect residents with housing resources.

Action Timeline

| Tlmelline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Ilmeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin in 2024 | On-going | On-going |

## Action D. Develop an equitable housing plan

Purpose: Addresses identified impediments to fair housing such as: limited community awareness about fair housing protections and resources, instances of discrimination in housing transactions, and a lack of affordable housing.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Thmeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 | 2025 | On-going |

## Action E. Disallow SFD in High Density R-3 Zone

(excepting areas in the historic district)

Purpose: Increases efficient use of land in the R-3 by focusing on opportunities for higher density development by removing single-family detached housing as an allowed use for new development

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2027 | 2028 | On-going |

## Action F. Evaluate increasing allowances for residential dwellings in commercial and employment zones

Purpose: Lowering or eliminating barriers to residential development in commercial or mixed-use zones can help encourage the development of more dense multifamily housing in these zones. May increase allowances for residential dwellings in commercial and employment zones.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of lmpact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 | 2026 | On-going |

## Action G. Maintain quality and support preservation of existing manufactured home parks and support

Purpose: Preserve and support development of new manufacturing housing parks because they play a significant role in providing naturally occurring affordable housing. The City could adopt a zone that allows manufactured home parks as a permitted use and prohibits other types of single-family detached or multifamily housing.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of lmpact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 | 2025 | On-going |

## Action H. Increase development capacity of MFR dwellings through changes to the Land Use Ordinance development

Purpose: Removing barriers to development of multifamily housing in the land use ordinance, such as:

- Increasing the maximum allowed densities in R-2, R-3, and parts of the Normal Neighborhood and Croman Mill District designations.
- Increasing allowed height in the R-2 and R-3 multi-family residential zones, outside of designated historic districts, from $21 / 2$ to 3 stories and from 35 to at least 40 feet, and up to 50 feet.
- Increasing lot coverage allowances slightly in the R-2 and R-3 zones to support the other code amendments
- Evaluating the code to identify and remove unintentional barriers to density, such as the certain elements of the solar ordinance, parking or driveway requirements.


## Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of $\operatorname{lmpact}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2027 | 2028 | On-going |

## Action I. Implement the Multiple Unit Property Tax Exemption (MUPTE) to support multifamily or affordable housing

Purpose: Provides a way to leverage private, market-rate development to expand affordable housing. Offers multi-unit developers that meet City-set affordability criteria a partial property tax exemption

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Tlmeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2028 | 2029 | The property tax exemption can be <br> used after adoption for as long as <br> the City offers the tax exemption. |

## Action J. Preserve and improve existing low-cost, unregulated, rental housing

Purpose: Supports needed repairs for low-cost unregulated housing without displacing tenants. The City could evaluate programs, technical assistance opportunities, regulatory changes, and other options to support property improvements. The City could provide grant funding in support of rehabilitation, weatherization or accessibility upgrades.

Action Timeline

| Itmeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Itmeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get policy direction from Council - 2026 | 2027 | On-going |

## Action K. Work with partners to support development of additional permanent supportive housing

Purpose: Supports development of income-restricted housing that includes services with a goal of ending chronic homelessness. The City would work with service providers to identify and utilize funding and development assistance opportunities.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Tmeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024 | On-going | On-going |

## Action L. Evaluate opportunities to improve energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions during housing development

Purpose: Helps the City meet its Climate and Energy Action Plan (CEAP) goals and can lower-long term energy costs. The City can evaluate opportunities to incorporate elements of the CEAP into housing developments. The City could also facilitate access to energy efficiency incentives available from the federal and state government, particularly for development of affordable housing.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Tlmeframe of lmpact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get policy direction from Council - 2029 | 2030 | On-going |

## Action M. Establish a Construction Excise Tax

Purpose: Construction Excise Tax (CET) is one of few options to generate additional locally-controlled funding for affordable housing. The City could use CET revenue funds to support the development or re-development of affordable housing.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of lmpact |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2025 | 2026 | If a CET is implemented, it may take <br> several years for funds to <br> accumulate to an amount that <br> could be used to support <br> development of housing. |

## Action N. Evaluate using Urban Renewal

## Purpose: Provides a flexible funding tool that can support many of the key strategies identified in the Housing Production Strategy.

## Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of lmpact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluate approach - 2026 <br> Develop plans - 2027 <br> Adopt - 2028 | After implementation, it would likely <br> be several years before there is <br> sufficient revenue in the Urban <br> Renewal District to have enough <br> funds to make significant <br> investment in housing. |  |

## Action O. Identify additional funds to support the Affordable Housing Trust Fund

Purpose: Identifying additional funding sources for Ashland's Affordable Housing Trust Fund would provide direct financial resources to the development of affordable housing for low-income households.

Action Timeline

| Timeline for Adoption | Implementation to Commence | Timeframe of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get policy direction from Council - 2025 | 2026 | It may take several years before <br> there is sufficient revenue to have <br> enough funds to make significant <br> investment in housing. |

