

Detailed Agenda/Outline for Day of Meeting

**Intro (5 minutes):**

As there are a wide diversity of opinions and points of view among members on the Trails Master Plan Review Committee and since recommendations that are unanimous or have most of the members support are the strongest, it seems that at this juncture, with the presentations completed, this is the optimal time to focus on a process that will maximize positive results.

To that end, we are beginning a new chapter today, focusing on a collaborative process. We want to say at the outset that we view the RVMBA proposals as conceptual-- the starting points in a process to find solutions to the issues RVMBA has so ably identified.

To help us in this process, remember that we were born with two ears and one mouth. So, listening twice as much as talking makes sense. We have structured the agenda today to ensure that everyone has an equal opportunity to speak and we are hoping that all will have a full opportunity to listen. Leslie and I will intervene if necessary to help us maximize listening.

**Opening (15 minutes):**

Are you open to considering a different point of view from your current viewpoint?

Go around the circle

**Brainstorm Committee Goal (20 minutes):**

What is the goal of our committee that we can all agree on?

Let's together create a goal statement we can all agree on.

Ground rules for brainstorming:

- **Doesn't have to be formed statement, best would be word or phrase**
- Listen to others and hopefully their ideas will inspire ideas from you
- All ideas will be written down on White Board/Flip Chart
- Just state your idea for a goal without elaboration
- Refrain from advocating for your idea
- Avoid commenting, positive or negative, about the ideas of others
- No bad ideas
- After we have listened to all the ideas we have gathered, we will discuss the ideas and hopefully agree on a goal statement

### **What Project Excites You? (15 minutes)**

Even though some ideas may in the end be impractical or fall off when compared to our decision-making criteria, what **trail or trail complex are you most excited by** and why? **Have Criteria posted on flip chart**

Go around the circle. Please keep your comments BRIEF, one minute or less.

- State name of the trail or trail complex.
- State the most important thing in your opinion that makes this number one for you. This should be one sentence.
- No discussing, just listening

### **Criteria for Decision-Making (5 minutes):**

Leslie and Rick refined a feasibility criterion that the agenda subcommittee (Torsten, David, Rick and Leslie) developed. Leslie and Rick also took the RVMBA criteria and modified it into a benefits' criteria. Both are posted here.

### **Feasibility Criteria**

1. Logistical (Ease or difficulty of making the on the ground changes)
2. Political (public and neighbor support or opposition)
3. Regulatory (for example, multiple jurisdictions, rule and/or ordinance changes required, conflict with or supported by existing documents and studies)
4. Environmental (for example, geological studies, fire considerations, sensitive or endangered species)

## **Benefits to Community Criteria**

1. Safety (for mountain bikers, for other users, for everyone at interfaces with motor vehicles)
2. Neighborhood connectivity
3. Added value to mountain bike trail system (user experience, beginner trails)
4. Economic value

## **List of Proposed Project Sites**

1. Siskiyou Mountain Park Low Angle Loop Trails
2. Lithia Park Low Angle Climb and Descending Trails
3. South Ashland Connecting Climb and downhill trails
4. Lower Wonder Bailout Trails
5. Alice in Wonderland Climb Trail/Ped-Bike Separation
6. Pioneer Promenade
7. Imperatrice Property

## **Initial Individual Selection of Projects Based on Criteria (15 minutes):**

What three projects do you think would best meet the feasibility and benefit criteria? Second best? Third best?

### **Instructions:**

- Put your name on paper
- List your first, second and third choice
- Turn in for tabulation
- *At this point--Leslie's request that people decide independently*

Votes will be tallied as follows. Each number one receives three points. Each number two receives two points. Each number three received one point. Project receiving most points is ranked first and so on. (If time allows, we will do this at the meeting and announce results)

If this does not provide clarity, further polling via Survey Monkey or other means may follow.

### **What Next? (10 minutes)**

*With the results tallied, Leslie and Rick, with the assistance of Michael Black, will form small groups from the three projects with the highest ranking. The small groups will further explore the projects' feasibility. If projects fall out due to feasibility issues, the next ranking projects will replace them, but only after discussion with the full group. The goal being to identify projects that we can accomplish in the near future.*

### **Working Groups Make-up**

- No more than five members, ideally three
- At least one member with technical knowledge of project area
- Diversity of viewpoints
- Small groups will need to research feasibility issues and be prepared to report to Committee
- Leslie, Rick and Michael will pick the working group members

If time allows, call for volunteers for the three small groups