

# Ashland Fiber Network – Value and Options Summary

## **AFN's Goals**

- Bring value to the community
- Provide internet service that is:
  - ✓ high quality
  - $\checkmark$  reliable
- Offer competitive pricing and speed
- Increase digital equity and inclusion
- Implement "green" technologies to reduce carbon footprint
- Deploy fiber to every home and business in Ashland



• Gigabit Passive Optical Network (GPON)

#### **AFN's Value**

- Our name brand: AFN is recognized and trusted.
- Our staff: We excel at customer service.
- Our customers: We have a loyal customer base 4000+ strong.
- Our mission:

"To provide quality, dependable internet service to the citizens of Ashland at reasonable rates. Our board of directors are the City Council; our shareholders are the community."



#### **AFN's Status**

- The network is almost 25 years old
  - No large capital investment in AFN since its inception
  - Deteriorating coaxial network equipment
    - Difficult to find replacement parts
    - Not cost effective to repair
  - Dated technology
- AFN debt retiring soon
  - o Last payment July 2024
- Market share at 35%



#### Ashland Economic Diversification

Ashland City Council Meeting October 3 - 2022

> **ECONorthwest** ECONOMICS · FINANCE · PLANNING

#### What are Ashland's greatest strengths?





## Benefits to the community

- AFN provides services at a lower rate than the private sector:
  - Least expensive consumer base service:
    - Spectrum is \$79.99
    - AFN is \$50
  - Avg. dark fiber in the Rogue Valley (dedicated customer line):
    - Spectrum & Hunter avg. \$800 to \$1000 per month
    - City of Ashland pays \$100 per month under AFN
  - Gigabit fiber internet connection:
    - Spectrum & Hunter avg. \$1000 to \$1800 per month
    - City of Ashland pays \$100 per month from AFN for this service.



Spectrum pricing source 1: <u>https://www.spectrum.com/policies/spectrum-broadband-disclosure</u> Spectrum pricing source 2: <u>https://www.spectrum.com/policies/rate-card</u>

## Savings to Ashland Community

- The estimated <u>yearly</u> costs savings\* are:
  - City of Ashland
    \$770,000 \$1 million
  - Ashland School District \$120,000 \$235,000
  - Local Businesses \$75,000 \$130,000
     Total Annual Savings: \$900,000 to \$1.3 million
- More expensive for the City of Ashland to purchase network services from a private provider



\*Does not include residential customer savings

## Savings to Ashland Community

| 20 Year Net Present Value of the Community Benefit* (NPV)    |   |
|--|---|
| <ul> <li>Discount rate</li> </ul>                            | 3.8%  |
| <ul> <li>Yearly avg. community benefit</li> </ul>            | \$1.2 million   |
| Net present value  | \$20.1 million  |
|  |   |
| <ul> <li>Net community benefit of next investment</li> </ul> | \$10 million  |
|  | <ul> <li>20 Year Net Present Value of the Community</li> <li>Discount rate</li> <li>Yearly avg. community benefit</li> <li>Net present value</li> <li>Net community benefit of next investment</li> </ul> |

<u>Net Present Value</u>
 \$10.1 million



\*Does not include residential customer savings

## The Future of AFN

- Business Model
  - o Cost-Benefit Analysis
- Business Decision
- Next Steps



## **Business Model - Assumptions**

- Market share in 2045 ranges from 55% to 60%
- Expenditure inflation from 0.5% to 1% year-over-year through 2045
- Loan interest rate is 4%
- Maintain current pricing approach
- Staff increases from 5 to 9
- Assertive financial model includes Franchise Fee to City
- Loan split between departments (using historic split):
  - 50% AFN
  - 34% Electric
  - 8% Water
  - 8% Wastewater



#### **Business Model - Assumptions**

Better Together



# Business Model – Outcomes No Fiber Investment (cable modem only), Fiscal Year Income & Expense 2013 - 2045





12

#### **Business Model - Outcomes**

Better Together



#### Business Model - Outcomes







## Business Decision - Cost-Benefit Analysis

• 20 Year Net Present Value (NPV):

|   | <u>Net Present Value</u>         | <u>\$310,000</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 0 | Estimated yearly cash flow (net) | \$755,000        |
| 0 | Loan                             | \$10 million     |
| 0 | Discount rate                    | 3.8%             |



## **Business Decision – Financing**

- Options
  - o Self-funded full deployment (current model, assumes debt issuance)
  - Non-traditional Public-Private Partnership (P3)
  - Traditional Public-Private Partnership (P3)











## **Business Decision - Assumptions**

- Ashland is a small market (pop. 21,607 & 10,052 households)
- Potential private partners may be busy deploying their systems
- Attracting a private partner may require investment by the City
- Full deployment is estimated to cost the City \$8 to \$10 million



## Business Decision - Self-funded Deployment

- City pays for everything
  - o 2 year pilot to verify business model
  - \$1 million for the pilot project
- Need a funding source (bond, traditional loan, inter-department loan)
- Funding can be staggered (don't need all the money upfront)
- Can decrease deployment time by using a contractor
- Full deployment time 2 to 3+ years
- Highest risk, highest reward for City



## **Business Decision - Non-Traditional P3**

- Private Partner
  - o Builds out fiber network at its expense/risk
  - Repayment by City via fixed annual fee plus monthly customer fees
  - Estimated cost to the partner is \$4 to \$7 million
  - Will be very difficult to find a private partner willing to take the risk
- City
  - o Purchases all active network equipment
  - Estimated cost to the City is \$2.5 to \$3.5 million
  - o Continues to operate AFN
  - Moderate/middle risk option for City



## **Business Decision - Traditional P3**

- Long-term contract with a Private Partner
  - Builds out the network at their expense/risk
  - Provides all services (billing, customer service, network operations)
  - Owns the customer base/relationship
- City
  - May need to help pay for fiber rollout
  - o May not see any revenue or it may be discounted by services received
  - May not control/dictate service pricing
  - May lose control/ownership of all outside plant assets
  - o Lowest risk option for City



## **Business Decision - Comparisons**

- Chattanooga, TN (public utility owned)
  - 180,000 homes and businesses connected
  - Competes against Comcast
  - Went from 30% market share to 75%
  - o Did not hire a consultant
  - Relied on grassroots efforts to gain customers
  - Economic benefit of \$2.7 billion and 9,500 additional jobs
- Sandy, OR (municipal owned)
  - Population of 12,700
  - Competes against Wave Broadband (cable) & Ziply Fiber (DSL)
  - o Market share is 78%
  - o Did not hire a consultant
  - Number I source of new customers is through utility sign-up



## Next Steps

- Pilot program
- Prove feasibility
- Financing



## Questions?



26