ASHLAND

Memo

TO: Ad-hoc City Hall Advisory Committee

FROM: Kaylea Kathol, Engineering

DATE: August 10, 2017

RE: Final list of tenable options for the replacement of City Hall

When the Committee first met on April 26, 2017, staff presented a list of 13 alternatives for the replacement of City Hall. In the time that has passed, some alternatives have been eliminated over uncertainty relating to property acquisition, including alternatives proposed for the railroad property and the Elks parking lot. Other alternatives, specifically an in-kind rebuild of City Hall and seismic rehabilitation of the existing building, have been removed from the list due to their inability to accommodate the City's current and projected space needs. The following list enumerates the alternatives that remain consistent with the Committee's charge to identify the best option for replacing City Hall that meets the work space needs through 2031 and provides reasonable degree of seismic safety for employees.

Alternative*		Cost Estimate	Timeline (months)
1.	ComDev: Expand & Consolidate	\$ 9.5 M	11
2.	Right-Size City Hall + Keep ComDev	\$ 8.5 M	16
3.	Right-Size/Keep Stucco City Hall + Keep ComDev	\$ 9.7 M	16
4.	New @ Pioneer Parking & 50 UG Stall	\$ 16.1 M	17
5.	New @ Pioneer Parking & 100 UG Stall	\$ 19.6 M	19
6.	New @ Civic Center + Consolidate**	\$ 12.9 M	17
7.	New @ Civic Center + Keep Com Dev**	\$ 11 M	16
8.	Briscoe School + Consolidate**	\$ 8.1 M	16

^{*}Alternative descriptions provided on following pages



^{**}Alternatives developed by City after ORW completed Feasibility Study. Costs and timelines were estimated by staff, based on construction assumptions used in the Feasibility Study.



	(all On-Site Expansion (2	Alternatives) ng a basement and three floors, in order to	a most the City's s	naga naada Daganfigura
		ng a basement and three moors, in order to		
		eco veneer on the north and east surfaces		cound, and the other atternative
		ompleted project: 14,800 ft ²	of the building	
	=	Total rebuild/expand:	\$8.5 M	(\$574/sf)
_	C 0 0 (2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 	Rebuild/expand, preserve facade:	\$9.7 M	(\$655/sf)
		• /•		,
	unity Development Expa			
		e Community Development building in o	order to consolidate	functions currently housed in
City H	all and Community Develo	opment. Ompleted project: 22,070 ft² (inc. new are:	a and manariated and	20)
	Cost:	impletted project. 22,070 it (inc. new area	sa and renovated are \$9.5 M	(\$430/sf)
Ц	Cost:		Ф У. З IVI	(\$430/\$1)
Conso	lidate at New Construction	on at Lithia/Pioneer (2 Alternatives)		
Consol	idate functions housed in C	City Hall and Community Development in	n a new building or	n the existing City-owned
		Pioneer Street. Profit from the sale of the		
		e alternative offers one level of undergrou	ınd parking and the	other offers two levels.
	_	ompleted project: 26,135 square feet		
	Cost (2 alternatives):	1 level of UG parking (50 stalls):	\$16 M	(\$612/sf)
		2 levels of UG parking (100 stalls):	\$19.6 M	(\$750/sf)
Courts	s/Civic Center (2 alternat	ives)		
		ion currently occupied by Courts/Civic C	Center. The new str	ucture would necessarily
		I chambers. The first alternative, termed		
		d ComDev. The second alternative, descr		
		smaller structure at the current courts loc		,
	Proposed total area of co	empleted project: 17,520 ft ² (partitioned)) to 27,470 ft ² (cen	tralized)
	Cost (2 alternatives):	Partitioned:	\$10 M*	(\$570/sf)
		Centralized:	\$13 M*	(\$473/sf)
		oped by Staff using the cost modeling as	sumptions provided	d in the architect's feasibility
stu	idy. <u>Professional estimate</u>	is advised.		
Brisco	e School			
		Development functions to Briscoe School	l.	
	Proposed total area of co	empleted project: 33,980 ft ² (existing stru	ıcture)	
	Cost:		\$8.1 M	(\$238/sf)
		ct commissioned a facilities report and se		
		des and repairs. Briscoe has been closed		
		lens of school operations. The study evalu		
		tructural (seismic) conditions. Total cost		
		ded the addition of some parking (approx		
		based on the actual national average Hist luded in the City's updated estimate, with		
	3	ent. The total 2016 estimate was approximate.		1 0
		nate was then escalated by 5.5 percent per		
		sells the Community Development build		
	-	of \$8.1 million. Professional estimate is	-	- , F- 3222 Could be applied
	r -J			



¹ http://info.thegordiangroup.com/RSMeans.html. City used actual 2005 cost index (151.6) and actual 2016 cost index (207.3).

² Real Market Value in 2016, Jackson County Property Data Online