



Ashland Canal Piping Project Community Meeting

January 31, 2019



PROJECT PURPOSE & BENEFITS



Purpose:

 Replace 10,700 feet of open-channel irrigation canal from Starlite Place to Terrace Street with below-ground pipe(s) to improve the water quality in Ashland Creek and to assist the City's goal for overall water conservation.

Benefits:

- Minimize water pollution and health risks in Ashland Creek
- Reduce water loss due to seepage and evaporation
- Maximize water resource *Right Water Right Use*
- Protect drinking water resources









Ashland Canal Maintenance Issues





ARTISTIC REPRESENTATION OF COMPLETED PROJECT





WORK COMPLETED Public Outreach

- Neighborhood Meeting
 - March 6, 2018
- Neighborhood "Backyard Visits"
 - 20 on-site interviews
- Community Meetings
 - April 18, 2018
 - January 31, 2019
- Parks Master Plan Open House
 May 2, 2019
- Canal Tour (ACAG and staff)
 November 13, 2018

- Ashland Canal Advisory Group
 - April 2, 2018
 - October 9, 2018
 - December 20, 2018
- City Source Articles
- TV & Radio Interviews
- Project website
- Email notification list (70 citizens)
- Meetings, emails and phone communications with community members



FEEDBACK & INPUT

- Impacts on vegetation
- Aesthetics of water "feature"
- Not a community priority
- Impacts on wildlife
- Homeowner access during construction
- Water efficiency / quality
- Disturbance and removal of homeowner bridges, fencing, rocks, driveways, etc.

- Project costs
- Trail Access
- Drainage
- Wildfire
- Privacy
- Property Values



ASHLAND CANAL ADVISORY GROUP Members



- Ashland Water Advisory Committee
- Tree Commission
- Conservation Commission
- Historic Commission
- Homeowners along the canal
- Community members
- Ashland Trails Association

Additional Consultants

- WISE project representatives
- Siskiyou Bio Survey
- SOU Biology
- City of Ashland Fire
- Parks Department
- GIS Department

PROJECT WORK COMPLETED

- Preliminary engineering phase (survey and field work)
- Hydraulic Analysis
- Water Quality Testing
- Natural Resource Analysis
 - Wildlife
 - Wildfire
 - Vegetation
- Water Loss Study
- Alternatives Analysis
- Southern Oregon University Biology Analysis





ASHLAND



Siskiyou BioSurvey, LLC Ecological Consultants





WATER LOSS/SEEPAGE STUDY



Methodology – Ponding test

- Measures how fast water seeps from the canal into the ground.
- Three ponding tests were conducted between Starlite Place and Elkader Street.
 - 100 foot section of canal tested in each of the three rated canal conditions

Results

- Total flow rate loss during an irrigation season is estimated to be 23%.
 - 21% seepage; 2% evaporation
 - Does not include transpiration
- Equivalent to 190 acre feet or 61,911,690 gallons per season (or 62 million).





E. COLI BACTERIA STUDIES Water Sampling Sites



Starlite Place Monitoring Station



Ashland Creek – Ashland Canal Outfall



E. COLI BACTERIA STUDIES



Ashland Canal E. Coli Bacteria Comparison



All figures are geometric mean. All units are MPN/100 ML *2011 data from Rogue Riverkeeper Ashland Creek Bacteria Study (62 samples taken) **2018 data from City of Ashland and RVCOG (30 Samples taken)

NATURAL RESOURCES



Wildlife – SOU Biology

- Evaluate wildlife use and impacts from piping
- The canal does not function as a riparian corridor for wildlife.
- Wildlife shift to alternate water sources during the irrigation "off season."
- Attractive Nuisance

Fire

- The piped canal corridor can be used as an improved fuel break to increase wildfire resilience.
- Allows better access if there were a fire in the area



NATURAL RESOURCES



Ecological Analysis – Siskiyou Bio Survey

- Evaluate risks to existing vegetation
- Identify mature trees at risk from piping
- Propose mitigation measures and recommendations

Findings

- Seepage has created an unnatural environment
- Douglas Firs are less resilient than pines and hardwoods
- Trees below the canal are larger than others in the watershed



VEGETATION SURVEY RESULTS





Decades of water seepage has significantly altered the habitat around the canal creating an unusual abundance of large Douglas Firs. It is uncommon to find trees of these sizes upslope of the canal.



Large numbers of specimen trees occur near the canal. The quantities of large sizes are unusual in such a concentrated area.

ALTERNATIVES



Alternative Criteria

- Meet design criteria of 7.2 cfs (cubic feet per second flow rate) minimum for flow and a maximum upstream water elevation of 2,327.05 feet.
- Alternatives 1-3 assume the City will apply for grant funding as appropriate and/or secure addition funds from the DEQ as necessary



ALTERNATIVE #1 Replace the entire canal with new 24" pipe

- Reduce pollution inputs into Ashland/Wrights/Bear creeks from the canal
- Maximize water resources
- Remove trees within the existing easement (approximately 285 trees)
- Requires the most excavation (includes removing piped sections)

ESTIMATED INITIAL CAPITAL COST: \$3,095,000



Replace only the open canal with a new pipe and rehab the existing large piped sections:

ALTERNATIVE #2

- Reduce pollution inputs into Ashland/Wrights/Bear creeks from the canal.
- Maximize water resources
- More expensive than alternative 1, requires less tree removal (approximately 260 trees)
- Higher costs due to more fill required, larger pipe, etc.

ESTIMATED INITIAL CAPITAL COST: \$3,950,000



ALTERNATIVE #3 Replace the existing concrete liner and rehab the piped sections:

- More expensive lifecycle costs (including repairs to the liner, debris cleanout, etc.)
- •This alternative does not address the water quality elements of project but will help with water efficiency
- Tree removal quantities will be similar to other options to protect the investment in the new liner (approximately 260 trees)

ESTIMATED INITIAL CAPITAL COST: \$2,429,000

ALTERNATIVE #4 Do Nothing



- Does nothing to remove pollutants from Ashland/Wrights/Bear creeks
- Water loss will increase as the liner and piped sections continue to degrade
- Deferred tree maintenance will continue to increase (increased fuel load)
- Safety of the canal and downstream properties could be compromised

ESTIMATED COST:

- Ongoing operations and maintenance costs would continue (currently \$50,000 annually)
- Deterioration will continue and repair costs will increase.





(2018 Costs)

	Alternative #1	Alternative #2	Alternative #3	Alternative #4
Method	All new 24" pipeline	30" & 24" Pipeline	Replace Canal Liner	Do Nothing
Pipe Material	Corrugated HDPE	Corrugated HDPE	Concrete & Urethane	
Capital Costs	\$3,095,000	\$3,950,000	\$2,429,000	\$0
Annual O & M	\$12,500	\$12,500	\$39,000	\$50,000
Life of Option	60 - 100 years	60 - 100 years	40 - 60 years	0 - 5 years
Salvage Value	\$354,280	\$335,560	0	0
Net Present Value *	\$3,472,579	\$4,339,897	\$4,334,379	\$2,442,794

* Life Cycle Cost / Net Present Value from Adkins Final Report p. 49

Summary of Audience Selected Alternatives From January 31, 2019 Listening Session







POTENTIAL GRANT OPPORTUNITIES



- Natural Resources Conservation Service
- Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
- US Bureau of Reclamation
- Oregon Water Resources Department
- Rogue Basin Partnership



NEXT STEPS



Questions? What have we missed? What needs more clarity?

Interested in a canal tour?

Next Meeting: March 5, 2019 Council Business Meeting

More Information: www.ashland.or.us/ashlandcanal





Thank you!

"We do not see things the way they are, we see them the way we are."

-- Anais Nin

